



# Sec. 56(2)(vii b) inapplicable to excess of net assets over consideration paid on amalgamation – Ahmedabad ITAT

26 May 2021



#### Summary

The Ahmedabad bench of Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT) has held that issue of shares at face value by an amalgamated company to shareholders of the amalgamating company in pursuance to a scheme of amalgamation would not fall under the anti-abuse provisions<sup>1</sup> of the Act. In holding so, the ITAT also upheld the decision of the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals)<sup>2</sup> wherein it was held only real income is taxable under the Act and not notional income arising due to, for instance, revaluation of stock.

The ITAT also observed that in cases of amalgamation there is a tripartite agreement between the amalgamated company, amalgamating company and shareholders of amalgamating company. Thus, it held that such agreements are not contemplated by the anti-abuse provisions.

#### Facts of the case

- The taxpayer<sup>3</sup>, in the instant case, is the amalgamated company.
- The Gujarat High Court<sup>4</sup> approved the scheme of amalgamation of the amalgamating company<sup>5</sup> with the taxpayer with effect from 01 April 2012.

# Scheme of amalgamation

- Pursuant to the scheme, assets worth INR 60.26 crore and liabilities worth INR 6.05 crore were acquired by the taxpayer against the issue of 1.5 crore shares issued at face value of INR 10 each.
- All the assets (except land) and liabilities of the amalgamating company were taken at book value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> section 56(2)(viib) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (the Act)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CIT(A)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> M/s Ozone India Limited

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> vide order dated 07 September 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> M/s Kalavir Estate Private Limited

Land parcels were taken at revalued price.

- The scheme provided for accounting the amalgamation as per the "Pooling of Interest" method of accounting<sup>6</sup>.
- Accordingly, excess net assets acquired by the taxpayer over the value of shares issued was accounted as 'capital reserve' and treated as capital receipt.

### Held by the tax officer

The tax officer observed as follows:

- The taxpayer has derived benefit of excess net assets.
- The fair value<sup>7</sup> of share of the taxpayer is INR 6.81 per share. Accordingly, the tax officer determined the value of shares so issued at INR 10.21 crore<sup>8</sup>.
- The difference between net assets and value of shares issued is taxable under the anti-abuse provisions of the Act<sup>9</sup>.

# Held by the CIT(A)

The CIT(A) held in favour of the taxpayer and held as follows:

- The excess net asset is largely due to revaluation of land parcels. It cannot be said that revaluation of land at market value gives rise to 'real income' which is a necessary pre-requisite for taxing an income. For this, the CIT(A) relied on various decisions<sup>10</sup>.
- Capital reserve account which is notional cannot be considered as share premium or consideration for the issue of shares.
- Anti-abuse provisions are applicable
   only when shares are issued at a
   premium. In the instant case, since
   shares are 'issued' at face value, anti abuse provisions would not be invoked.
- Placing reliance on a Mumbai ITAT
   decision<sup>11</sup>, the CIT(A) held that in
   absence of specific taxing provisions,
   where the land is revalued on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> provided in Accounting Standard -14 (Accounting for Amalgamations)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Computed as per Rule 11UA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962

<sup>8 1.5</sup> crore \*INR 6.81 per share

<sup>9</sup> section 56(2)(viib)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Chain Rup Sampatram Vs. CIT [224 ITR 481], CIT Vs. Hind Construction Ltd. [83 ITR 211], CIT Vs. Birla Gwalior Pvt Ltd. [89 ITR 266] and CIT, Bombay City Vs. Shoorji Vallabhdas & Co. in 46 ITR 144, CIT Vs. Leena Sarabhai [221 ITR 520]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Makers Development Services Pvt . Ltd. Vs. Dy. CIT [40 ITD 185]

amalgamation and acquired at higher value, there will not be any tax implication on such notional gain.

Aggrieved by the order of the CIT(A), the tax department preferred an appeal before the Ahmedabad ITAT.

#### Taxpayer's contention

- The taxpayer argued<sup>12</sup> that in an amalgamation, the consideration is to be discharged by the taxpayer by issue of its shares. This is different from a typical situation where shares are subscribed by paying subscription money to the issuer company.
- It was also contended that since shares
  have been issued at face value and
  there is no share premium received,
  anti-abuse provisions would not be
  applicable.

#### ITAT's observation and order

 Placing reliance on a Supreme Court decision<sup>13</sup>, the ITAT held that the legal fictions are only for a definite purpose

- and they are limited to the purpose for which they are created and should not be extended beyond the legitimate field.
- It concluded that the anti-abuse provisions were inserted14 to tax excessive tax premium received 'unjustifiably' without carrying underlying value. Thus, it held that the anti-abuse provisions are not applicable when shares are issued a face-value and not at premium.
- The ITAT examined the issue from various perspective and noted as follows:
  - The issue of shares does not trigger any consideration, rather the obligation to give consideration triggers the issue of shares.
  - There is a tripartite arrangement between amalgamated company, amalgamating company and the shareholders of amalgamating company. Such tripartite arrangements are not contemplated by the anti-abuse provisions since

dated 12 June 2012 and the finance minister's budget speech

<sup>12</sup> in terms of section 2(1B) of the Act and AS-14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> CIT vs Refrigeration (P) Ltd. (1985) 155 ITR 711

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> after considering the Memorandum explaining provisions of Finance Bill, CBDT circular 3/2012

- the consideration (in the form of an undertaking) is received from the amalgamating company and the shares are issued to the shareholders of the amalgamating Co.
- Issue of shares by the taxpayer to shareholders of the amalgamating

- company is not regarded as 'transfer'15.
- Thus, the ITAT concluded that the issue
  of shares at 'face value' by the
  taxpayer to shareholders of the
  amalgamating company would not be
  covered under the anti-abuse
  provisions.

#### Our comments

The decision is based on the principal that tax authorities cannot simply invoke anti-abuse provisions under the Act without adequately analysing the substance, form and the intent of a transaction. A careful distinction needs to be made between legitimate business transactions and transactions carried out for the purpose of avoiding taxes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Section 47(vii) of the Act

# Contact us

To know more, please visit www.grantthornton.in or contact any of our offices as mentioned below:

NEW DELHI	NEW DELHI	AHMEDABAD	BENGALURU	CHANDIGARH
National Office	6th floor,	7th Floor,	5th Floor, 65/2, Block A,	B-406A, 4th Floor,
Outer Circle	Worldmark 2, Aerocity,	Heritage Chambers,	Bagmane Tridib,	L&T Elante office Building
L 41 Connaught Circus,	New Delhi - 110 037	Nr. Azad Society,	Bagmane Tech Park,	Industrial area, Phase-I,
New Delhi 110 001	T +91 11 4952 7400	Nehru Nagar,	C V Raman Nagar,	Chandigarh – 160 002
T +91 11 4278 7070		Ahmedabad – 380 015	Bengaluru - 560 093	T +91 172 4338 000
			T+91 80 4243 0700	
CHENNAI	DEHRADUN	GURGAON	HYDERABAD	KOCHI
9th Floor, A Wing,	Suite No 2211,	21st Floor DLF Square	7th Floor, Block III	7th Floor,
Prestige Polygon,	2nd Floor Building 2000	Jacaranda Marg,	White House Kundan Bagh,	Modayil Centre Point,
471 Anna Salai,	Michigan Avenue,	DLF Phase II,	Begumpet	Warriam Road Junction,
Mylapore Division, Teynampet,	Doon Express Business Park,	Gurgaon 122 002	Hyderabad 500 016	MG Road,
Chennai - 600035	Subhash Nagar,	T +91 124 462 8000	T +91 40 6630 8200	Kochi 682 016
T +91 44 4294 0000	Dehradun 248 002			T +91 484 406 4541
	T +91 135 264 6500			
KOLKATA	MUMBAI	MUMBAI	NOIDA	PUNE
10C Hungerford Street	11th Floor, Tower II	Kaledonia, 1st Floor,	Plot No. 19A,	3rd Floor,
5th Floor,	One International Centre SB	C Wing (Opposite J&J	2nd Floor Sector – 16A,	Unit No 309 to 312,
Kolkata 700 017	Marg, Prabhadevi (W)	office) Sahar Road,	Noida 201 301	West Wing,
T +91 33 4050 8000	Mumbai 400 013	Andheri East,	T +91 120 4855 900	Nyati Unitree
	T +91 22 6626 2600	Mumbai - 400 069		Nagar Road, Yerwada
		T +91 22 6176 7800		Pune- 411 006
				T +91 20 6744 8800

For more information or for any queries, write to us at contact@in.gt.com



Follow us @GrantThorntonIN

© 2021 Grant Thornton Bharat LLP. All rights reserved.

"Grant Thornton Bharat" means Grant Thornton Advisory Private Limited, the sole member firm of Grant Thornton International Limited (UK) in India, and those legal entities, which are its related parties as defined by the Companies Act, 2013, including Grant Thornton Bharat LLP.

Grant Thornton Bharat LLP, formerly Grant Thornton India LLP, is registered with limited liability with identity number AAA-7677 and has its registered office at L-41 Connaught Circus, New Delhi, 110001.

References to Grant Thornton are to Grant Thornton International Ltd. (Grant Thornton International) or its member firms. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by the member firms.